

Questions and issues for follow up
First public forum on Marlboro Township zoning changes
January 12, 2016

Impact of lengthy administrative review on landowner activities
Future bureaucracy vs landowner "sovereignty"
Changes are too much too fast. Simplify. Overly process-oriented.

Concern about administrative burden on DRB. Can Zoning admin. Handle some applications? Beware of overburdening applicants with expensive requirements.

Define development as structures, not non-structural (e.g. clearing a field, clearing land). Think about distinction between development with structures and land cover changes. Consider extent of land cover changes and location of land cover change.

Distinguish between forest edge and forest interior in Wildlife Overlay District activities. There is less negative impact when next to existing development and therefore less need for administrative process.

Consider impact of clear-cutting or selective cutting for habitat.

Is Logging regulated? Trail building?
Is there any consideration or exemption for land in Current Use?
Clarify impact of new rules on agriculture.

Village lot size of $\frac{1}{4}$ acre is dramatic change from current 2-acre size. Is $\frac{1}{4}$ acre feasible for house/septic/well

Provide for homeowner to use GPS to demonstrate distance (500') from road.

Change in lot size restricts landowner ability to subdivide. Is landowner compensated for this? What about variable lot size and using density with lot size.